

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/670,747 | 09/27/2000 | Wei Jen Yeh | 7000-028 | 6518 | |
| 27820 | 7590 01/11/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C. P.O. BOX 1287 | | | NGUYEN, HANH N | | |
| CARY, NC 27512 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2662 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | N |
|--|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/670,747 | YEH ET AL. | u. |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hanh Nguyen | 2662 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence ado | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application of the control | ation. A proper repl h places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official inely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the corresponding are the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for the shortened statutory | g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP copriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below): | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | · | , | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claim | S. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>23,24,42 and</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | 43 would be allowable if submitt | ed in a separate, tir | mely filed |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NO | T place the |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>23,24,42 and 43</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22,25-41 and 44-54</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | |
| 0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | , , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | vu/Ch |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant 's argument is not persuasive. Applicant is referred to the Response in the Final Action. .